

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,965	02/23/2004	Lloyd P. Johnston	000166.0096-US02	7561
26853	7590 12/15/2004		EXAMINER	
	ON & BURLING		PARADISO, JOHN ROGER	
	ENT DOCKETING SYLVANIA AVENUE,	N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TON, DC 20004-2401		3721	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/782,965	JOHNSTON ET AL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		John R Paradiso	3721				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -				
Period f							
THE - External after of the control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION on sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some reply received by the Office later than three months after the replaced patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rn. a reply within the statutory minimum of third eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	2/23/2004.					
• —	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3)□		owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits	s is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4 \⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
7/64	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)							
,—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No	,			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bu						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
			•				
A441							
Attachmer		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•			
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ster No(s)/Mail Date 2/23/2004.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/782,965

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-22 of U. S. Patent No. 6715259 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to omit the carousel of US Patent No. 6715259 in order to reduce the complexity of the invention, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same function as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,965

Art Unit: 3721

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968).

See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (571) 272-4466

December 13, 2004

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada:

(571) 308-7135

Fax (Official):

(703) 872-9306

Fax (Direct to Examiner)

(571) 273-4466 (Drafts only)